

June 4, 2024

Brod Hector Concepcion Manhattan, New York

Decision on the Complaint #1 and Complaint #2: NYT vs. TEST and Hector Concepcion

Case Reference (2024-001): NYT vs. TEST and Hector Concepcion

Complaint #1: Existence of TEST

Standard Review:

The Adjudication Board has reviewed Complaint #1, which contests the legitimacy and existence of TEST. The complaint was assessed based on the geographical boundaries, historical coexistence of similar organizations, and the precedent set by the formation of new charters by members of the Western Overseas in the USA.

Discussion:

Upon deliberation, the Board noted the following key points:

1. Historical Coexistence: The existence of Brooklyn Triskelions alongside NYT demonstrates a precedent for multiple charters coexisting within similar regions.

2. Geographical Boundaries: NYT operates within the boundaries of Queens, while TEST is situated in Uptown New York. Given the vast size of New York City, it is reasonable to have chapters in different boroughs. This geographical distinction supports the possibility of both organizations operating independently without conflict.



3. Formation of New Charters: Many members of the Western Overseas in the USA originated from different organizations and established new charters due to differing views. This practice aligns with the formation of TEST, indicating a reasonable precedent for its existence.

Given these factors, the Board finds Complaint #1 to be without merit. The vote count for this decision was as follows: 0 in favor of the complaint, 5 against, and 4 abstentions.

Decision:

The Adjudication Board dismisses Complaint #1 regarding the existence of TEST due to lack of merit based on historical coexistence, distinct geographical boundaries, and the established precedent of forming new charters.

Complaint #2: Sabotaging of Facebook and Website Page of NYT

Standard Review:

Complaint #2 accuses TEST and Hector Concepcion of sabotaging the Facebook and website page of NYT. The Board evaluated the complaint based on evidence presented and the severity of the allegations.

Discussion:

The Board's review of the complaint revealed significant concerns regarding the integrity and security of NYT's online presence. The following points were considered:

1. Evidence of Sabotage: Preliminary evidence indicates unauthorized access and detrimental actions taken against NYT's Facebook and website, undermining their digital presence.

2. Member Testimonies: Statements from NYT members corroborate the claim of sabotage, pointing towards TEST and Hector Concepcion's involvement.

Given these factors, the Board finds that Complaint #2 has merit. The vote count for this decision was as follows: 5 in favor of the complaint, 0 against, and 4 abstentions.



Decision:

The Adjudication Board acknowledges the merit of Complaint #2 regarding the sabotaging of NYT's Facebook and website page. Consequently, a formal hearing will be **scheduled on June 23**, **Sunday @ 8pm Eastern an 5pm Pacific** to further investigate and resolve this matter.

Motion to Appoint Representatives:

In light of the seriousness of Complaint #2 and the need for a thorough investigation, the Adjudication Board moves to appoint a representative to both parties involved. This will ensure that the proceedings are conducted fairly and that both parties have adequate representation.

Merits of the Case:

The merits of the case rest on the following:

1. Preliminary Evidence: Clear indications of unauthorized interference with NYT's digital platforms.

2. Corroborative Testimonies: Consistent accounts from NYT members supporting the allegation of sabotage.

3. Potential Harm: The actions have potentially caused significant harm to NYT's reputation and operations.

The Board is committed to a fair and just resolution of Complaint #2, and the upcoming hearing will provide a platform for all evidence and arguments to be thoroughly examined.

Next Steps:

1. Schedule Hearing: A hearing date is **scheduled on June 23, Sunday @ 8pm Eastern and 5pm Pacific** to delve into the details of Complaint #2.

2. Appoint a Representative: Both TEST and NYT will appoint a representative to speak on their behalf during the hearing.

3. Prepare Documentation: All relevant evidence and testimonies will be compiled and reviewed in preparation for the hearing. E-mail all documentation to woadjudication@gmail.com.



This decision reflects the Adjudication Board's dedication to upholding justice and maintaining the integrity of Tau Gamma Phi Global (Western Overseas).

Issued by:

Adjudication Board

Tau Gamma Phi Global (Western Overseas) E-mail Address: woadjudication@gmail.com

Adjudication Board:

Chairman: Art Liguidliguid

Vice-Chairman: Loy Loyola

Secretary: Arnel Lorenzo

Members: Paul Astrologo, Zaren Makalintal, Ray Ramirez, Gabby Riomalos, Ferdie Sacluti, Alan Uyenco, and Angelita Davis.